



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

*Unclassified SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					J /	
	PORT DOCUM	ENT ATION PAGE	E		DI	
		16. RESTRICTIVE M	ARKINGS	6	ELEC	
AD-A160 510	<b>5</b> ——	3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
Zb. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHE			Approved for public release;			
		distribution			Y A	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM  N/A	IGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		AFOSR-TR- 85 - 9815			
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONIT	TORING ORGANI	IZATION		
Johns Honkins University	<u> </u>	AFOSR				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City,	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)			
Baltimore, MD 21218		Bldg. 410	R. D.C. 20	332-6448		
8. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT I	NSTRUMENT ID		NUMBER	
	<u> </u>	10. SOURCE OF FUN				
Bidg. 414 State and ZIP Code) Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332-644	18	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 6 I 102f	PROJECT	TASK ANO.	WORK	
		011021		"		
		<del>-</del>				
11. TITLE (Include Security Clamification) Estimation of Palm measures	of stationary	point processes		1	]	
	of stationary	point processes				
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Estimation of Palm measures  12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) A Jan T. Kart  134 TYPE OF REPORT  136. TIME C			l	15. P4GE	COUNT	
12. PERSONAL AUTHORIS)  134 TYPE OF REPORT  Therim from		point processes	l	15. PAGE	COUNT	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) ATAT T. KATT  134 TYPE OF REPORT . 136. TIME C	COVERED		l	15. PAGE	COUNT	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  13a TYPE OF REPORT  THEY IN FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES	TO TO	14. DATE OF REPORTED	7 985 Mo., Dey/	issy openina	leasure,	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  134 TYPE OF REPORT  THEY IM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	18 SUBJECT TERMS POINT Proces	Sontata of magging of the spectral maggins	Porne prive e	ectra! den	leasure,	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  134 TYPE OF REPORT  THEY IN FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.	18 SUBJECT TERMS POINT PROCES moment measu	Sontstational in its ity function,	pornt prive easure, spe	/gsy •Pa⊓m™ ectra? dens form cons'	leasure, sity istency	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) AIGHT, KGTT  13a TYPE OF REPORT  THEY IN FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP, SUB. GR.  XXXXXX  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Estimators of the Palm measure the spectral density consistent measure the spectral density.	TO T	Sontista Tromaty of the strong to be strong to the underlying the strong to the	portite priore easure, spe strong uni cess on a f y uniformly ment measure ng probabil	ysy Panning ctra! densiform constituted implication consister consister consister constitute consti	leasure, sity istency ensional ent. Fro spectra re itsel	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  13a TYPE OF REPORT  INTERIM FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP. SUB. GR.  XXXXXX  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Estimators of the Palm measure) Euclidean space are develog them, similarly consistent measure, the spectral densiare derived. Hormal and Presented. Application is state estimation.  OTIC FILE COPY  20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRA	Point proces moment measure of a statio ped and shown t estimators ty function an oisson approximate to the	Sontistationary in ire, spectral musity function, on ary point produced mond the underlying mations to disproblem of co	portite protective easure, spe strong unicess on a fy uniformly ment measure probabil tributions ombined info	Free and services of the servi	leasure, sity istency ensional ent. Fro spectra re itsel	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  13a TYPE OF REPORT  Interim  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP, SUB. GR.  XXXXX  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Estimators of the Palm measure) Euclidean space are develog them, similarly consistent measure, the spectral densiare derived. Normal and P presented. Application is state estimation.	Point proces moment measure of a statio ped and shown t estimators ty function an oisson approximate to the	Sontistationary in ire, spectral maisty function, on ary point produced mond the underlying mations to disproblem of co	portite protective easure, spe strong unicess on a fy uniformly ment measure probabil tributions ombined info	Free and services of the servi	leasure, sity istency ensional ent. Fro spectra re itsel	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  13a TYPE OF REPORT  INTERIM FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP SUB. GR.  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Estimators of the Palm measure) Euclidean space are develog them, similarly consistent measure, the spectral densiare derived. Normal and P presented. Application is state estimation.  OTIC FILE COPY  20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT.	TO TIC USERS	Sontista Tromaty Tire, spectral musity function, in the underlying the underlying the underlying to be strongly freduced mond the underlying	POTITY CLASSIFIE	cation	leasure, sity istency ensional ent. Fro spectra re itsel itors are id linea	
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)  13a TYPE OF REPORT  INTERIM FROM  16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  17. COSATI CODES  FIELD GROUP. SUB. GR.  XXXXXX  19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and Estimators of the Palm measiful Euclidean space are developmentary than the spectral densifier are derived. Hormal and Presented. Application is state estimation.  OTIC FILE COPY  20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT (CONTINUE OF ABSTRACT)  UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT.	TO TIC USERS	Sontista Tronat y name of sity function, spectral monary point produced monary reduced monations to disproblem of country to the underlying mations to disproblem of country to the underlying at the underlying mations to disproblem of country to the underlying mations are underlying to the unde	POTITY CLASSIFIE	ctral densiform constituted in the dimension of estimate ence and carron	leasure, sity istency ensional ent. Fro spectra re itsel itors are id linea	

X and a Market of the control

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218 Estimation of Palm Measures of Stationary Point Processes\*

	<u> </u>	Alan Fare
		NTIS CRA&I 6 DIIC TAB  U a o J   J   Licon  By  Di t ib tion
4 -	<b></b>	
<u>1</u> 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<u>0</u>	
	<u>0</u> 0	
7 90 2	- 1 <u>g</u> g	
	<u> </u> □ 0 0   C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	

E. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce ite keprints for governmental purposes. Passarch supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research, AFSC, and distribute

>#FOSK-82-0029

48

0

uniformly consistent. From them, similarly consistent estimators of reduced moment measures, the spectral measure, the spectral density function and the a finite-dimensional Euclidean space are developed and shown to be strongly approximations to distributions of estimators are presented. Application is made to the problem of combined inference and linear state estimation. underlying probability measure itself are derived. Normal and Poisson Estimators of the Palm measure of a stationary point process on

0

.28



measure, moment measure, spectral measure, spectral density function, estimator, strong uniform consistency, asymptotic normality, Poisson approximation, linear state estimation XBY WORDS AND PREASES! point process, stationary point process, Pala

AMS (1980) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS: primery 60655, 60610, 60625; secondary 62009, 62020

Approved for public releases: distribution well- 1. A.

O. <u>Introduction</u>. "Traquancy domain" statistical inference for stationary point processes has a reasonably lengthy but also somewhat apported history. Such early papers as Bartlett (1963, 1964, 1967) proposed methods of estimation for spectral density functions that are analogous to techniques used for ordinary time series; asymptotic properties, however, were often only incompletely described. With the exception of meillinger (1972, 1973) relatively little development has cocurred since. As the same time, enermous strides have taken place in the theory of stationary point processes, most notably concerning the fundamental role of Palm measures. In addition, recently derived spatial ergodic theorems parmit one to obtain new kinds of consistency results.

One purpose of this paper is to apply these recent developments in order to establish strong uniform consistency and asymptotic normality of estimators of spectral measures and spectral density functions of stationary point processes on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . These we obtain as consequences of results that extend and refine consistency properties of estimators of Palm measures demonstrated in Krickeberg (1982). Our more powerful consistency theorems also allow estimation of the law P of the point process, rather than only the Palm measure P.

Organization of the paper is as follows. Section 1 contains background material on stationary point processes, Palm measures, and ordinary and reduced moment and cumilant measures, together with a spectral representation theorem for stationary point processes. In Section 2 we present a variety of strong consistency theorems: for Palm measures, reduced second moment measures, spectral measures, spectral density functions and the probability law of the point process; the first four of these establish strong uniform

consistency. The setting is completely nonparametric and the underlying space, while Euclidean, is of arbitrary finite dimension. Normal and Poisson process approximations to (scaled) stationary point processes are described in Section 3. Our central limit theorem generalizes that of Jolivet (1981). Finally, Section 4 applies consistency theorems to the problem of combined statistical inference and linear state estimation.

1. <u>Preliminaries</u>. Let E =  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where the dimension d is arbitrary but fixed and for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\mathfrak{T}_{\underline{x}}$  be the translation operator  $y + \mathfrak{T}_{\underline{x}} y = y - x$ . Lebesque measure on E is denoted by dx or  $\lambda$ , as convenient. Let the sample space 0 be the set  $\underline{M}_p$  of locally finite, simple point measures on E, endowed with the Borel 0-algebra 0 engendered by the vague topology (see Kallenberg, 1981); let X be the coordinate point process  $X(\omega) = \omega$ . For each x define  $\theta_{-1}$  of A

$$(1.1) N^{*}_{X} = Nr_{X}^{-1},$$

note that  $\theta_0 = I$ , the identity mapping on  $\Omega$ , and that  $\theta_{K} \theta_{Y} = \theta_{Y+Y}$  for each x and y. Given a probability P on  $(\Omega,G)$ , N is stationary with respect to P if the flow  $(\theta_{K})$  is measure-preserving for P:  $P\theta_{X}^{-1} = P$  for all  $x \in E$ . The formulation is due to Neveu (1977), to which the reader is referred for details; equivalently, N is P-stationary if and only if  $NI_{X}^{-1} = N$  in P-distribution for each x. An event  $\Gamma \in G$  is invariant if  $\theta_{X}^{-1} = \Gamma$  for all x; the probability P is ergodic if  $P(\Gamma) = 0$  or 1 for every invariant event  $\Gamma$ . Our consistency results are proved within the statistical model P of ergodic probabilities under which N is stationary. For asymptotic normality we require further assumptions -- finiteness of reduced cumulant measures --

that bring about only weak dependence of distantly separated portions of M. In all cases our asymptotics pertain to single realizations of M observed over increasingly large compact, convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

For each probability P under which N is stationary there exists (Neveu, 1977, Theorem II.4) a unique G-finite measure P\* on D, the Palm

 $E[JH(\theta_{M,K})H(\omega,d_{K})] = E^{\bullet}[JH(\omega,\kappa)d_{K}]$ 

"expectation" with respect to Pe. When Pe( $\Omega$ ) <  $\infty$  the probability  $P_0(*) = Pe(*)/Pe(\Omega)$  is the Palm distribution; its heuristic interpretation is that  $P_0(*) = P(*)/Pe(\Omega) = 1$ , i.e.,  $P_0$  is the distribution of N conditional on the fmill) event that a point is located at the origin. In the more general formulation of Kallanberg (1983),  $P_0$  is an unreduced Palm distribution, homent measures are also important. For each k, let  $W^k$  be the k-fold

 $\mathbb{R}^{k(d_{\mathbf{X}_{1}},\ldots,d_{\mathbf{X}_{k}})} = \mathbb{R}^{(d_{\mathbf{X}_{1}}),\ldots\mathbb{R}^{(d_{\mathbf{X}_{k}})}}$ 

then Hadmits a memont of order k with respect to P if the measure

 $(3.3) \qquad \mu^{k}(dx_{1}, \dots, dx_{k}) = \mathbb{E}[\mu^{k}(dx_{1}, \dots, dx_{k})]$ 

is locally finite, in which case  $\mu^k$  is termed the moment measure of order k. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  let  $\lambda_x$  be the image of Lebesque measure  $\lambda$  under the mapping  $x + (x_1 + x, \dots, x_{k-1} + x, x)$  of x into x. By stationarity, each extent

-3-

moment measure  $\mu^k$  admits a disintegration (see Krinkaberg, 1974, 1982)

Sections services services sections sections sections.

$$\mu^{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k-1}} \lambda_{u}^{k} dz,$$

where  $\mu_{e}^{k}$ , a measure on  $\mathbf{g}^{k-1}$ , is the reduced moment measure of order k. In particular, for k=1,  $\mathbf{g}^{k-1}=\{0\}$  and  $\mu_{e}^{1}=V\epsilon_{0}$ , with the scalar v known as the intensity of v.

Cumulant and reduced cumulant measures are defined analogously. If N admits a moment of order k then the measure

(1.5) 
$$\gamma^{k} (\prod_{j=1}^{k} \xi_{j}) = E (-1)^{|J|-1} (|J|-1) \lim_{k} \frac{J_{k}}{J \in J_{k}} \xi_{j})$$

is the <u>cumulant measure</u> of order k. In (1.5)  $(\Pi r_j)(x) = \Pi r_j(x_j)$  and the summation is over all partitions  $J = \{J_1, \dots, J_j\}_j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . The <u>reduced</u> cumulant measure  $\gamma_e^k$  setisfies

$$V^{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k-2}} \lambda_{k} Y_{s}^{k}(dz),$$

it is a signed measure in general, but whereas moment measures, reduced moment measures and cumulant measures are not finite except in trivial cases, Feduced cumulant measures may be finite, in the sense of having finite total variation; this finiteness (which is analogous to integrability of the covariance function of a stationary process on IR) implies that distant parts of N are nearly independent.

The covariance measure A is the reduced cumulant measure of order two, for it the disintegration (1.6) becomes

1.7) 
$$\rho_{\Phi}(dx) = \mu_{\Phi}^2(dx) = v^2 dx$$
,

so that estimation procedures applicable to reduced moment measures yield -- by substitution -- estimates of the reduced covariance measure; these are applied in Section 4 to the problem of combined inference and linear state estimation. When M is a Poisson process with intensity V, then  $\rho_o = \nu E_0$ , a manifestation of the independent increments property of M.

The crucial relation betwen Palm measures and reduced moment measures is that the latter are ordinary moment measures with respect to the former.

INDEA 1.1. Let N be a stationary point process admitting moment of order  $k \geq 2$  . Then

(1.8) 
$$\mu_s^k = E^* [x^{k-1}].$$

PROOF. For f and h nonnegative, continuous functions on  $B^{k-1}$  and E, respectively, with  $\lambda(h)=1$ , and apply (1.2) with  $H(\omega,x)=[N^{k-2}(\omega)\,(f)]h(x)$  to obtain

$$E^{*}[H^{k-1}(\xi)] = \mathbb{E} \{ f H(dy) (HT_{y}^{-1})(\xi) h(g) \}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \{ f H^{k}(dx) \xi (H_{1}^{-x} + \dots + H_{k-1}^{-x} + \dots + H_{k-1}^{-x}) h(X_{k}^{-1}) \}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^{k}(dx) \xi (H_{1}^{-x} + \dots + H_{k-1}^{-x} + \dots + H_{k}^{-x}) h(X_{k}^{-1})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu^{k}_{0}(dy) \xi (H_{1}^{-x} + \dots + H_{k-1}^{-x}) h(y) = \mu^{k}_{0}(\xi),$$

where the fourth equality is by (1.4).  $\Box$ 

The choice k=1 shows that  $V = P^+(\Omega)$ .

Frequency domain analysis of a stationary point process is based on the spectral representation. Denote by  $\theta$  the class of infinitely differentiable

functions on **B** with compact support and denote the Pourier transform of  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}$  by

TANAMAN CONTROL RANGER CONTROL SEPTEMBER SEPTEMBER SERVICES

(1.9) 
$$\dot{\psi}(v) = \int d^{4}(v) dx$$
,

where  $<\cdot,\cdot>$  is the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$  belongs to the class S of rapidly decreasing functions on E (see Rudin, 1973, or Yoshida, 1968). The inverse Fourier transform of  $\psi$   $\in S$  is

(1.10) 
$$\hat{\psi}(x) = (1/2\pi) f_a^{-1/2\pi/2k} \psi(v) dv$$
.

If N is a stationary point process admitting moment of order two, there exists (see Itô, 1955, and also Daley, 1971, and Vere-Jones, 1974) a unique complex-valued random measure 2 on E, with orthogonal increments, such that for  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

(1.11) 
$$N(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widetilde{\psi}(\mathbf{v}) Z(d\mathbf{v}),$$

this is the spectral representation of M. The measure

(1.12) 
$$P(dv) = E[|z(dv)|^2]$$

is the spectral measure of N.

As might be anticipated, the spectral measure and reduced second moment measure are linked intimately; this link is used in order to estimate spectral measures.

LEMMA 1.2. Let N be a stationary point process with moment of order two. Then the reduced second moment measure and spectral measure fulfill the Parseval relations

ģ

(1.13) 
$$\mu_{\mu}^{2}(\psi) = P(\vec{\psi})$$

7

(1.14) 
$$r(\psi) = \mu_{\phi}^{2}(\hat{\psi})$$

for \$ 6 9.

We conclude the section by describing the nonparametric estimators whose properties are developed in the remaining sections. Let N be a stationary point process with unknown probability law P, and suppose that a single realization of N has been observed over a compact, convex subset K of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The fundamental estimators are those (see Krickeberg, 1982), of the Palm measure: for H:  $\Omega + \mathbb{R}_+$ , P\* (H) =  $\int$  HdP\* is estimated by

(1.15) 
$$\hat{P}^*(H) = \frac{1}{\lambda(K)} \int_X H(WT_X^{-1}) H(dx)$$
.

While a "method of moments" justification stems from (1.2):

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{*}(\mathbf{H})\right] = \lambda(\mathbf{K})^{-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{N}^{*}_{\mathbf{K}})\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})\right]$$

= 
$$\lambda(x)^{-1} E^*[H(N) \int_K dx] = E^*[H(N)] = P^*(H)$$
,

in other words these estimators are unbiased, a more compelling justification is based on the conditioning interpretation of  $\mathbb{P}^{+}$ . For each  $x \in x$  that is a point of x - and only such x contribute to the integral in (1.15) --  $\mathbb{R}^{+}$  has a point at the origin, and therefore  $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^{+}(\mathbb{H})$  is just a weighted average of  $\mathbb{R}$ -values of translations of N placing each point in turn at the origin. In (1.15) and throughout the paper we suppress dependence on the "sample size" K, but this interpretation is only rather loose because

 $\hat{P}^{*}(H)$  may not be measurable with respect to the G-algebra  $F^{N}(K) = G(H(B))$  is C(H) = C(H(B)) representing observation of H over K. In practice, though, there is a bounded set A (depending on H) such that  $H(H) = H(H_A)$ , where  $\mu_A$  is the restriction of H to A, which renders  $\hat{P}^{*}(H)$  measurable with respect to  $\hat{P}^{N}(K+A)$ .

The remaining estimators are derived from  $\hat{P}^{\pm}$  by substitution. For estimation of the intensity, taking H  $\equiv$  1 in (1.15) yields the (obvious) estimator  $\hat{V}$  = N(K)/λ(K), which is  $\hat{F}^{N}(K)$ -measurable. For estimation of the reduced second moment measure, choosing H(N) = N(f), where  $f \in C_{+}(E)$  (the set of positive; continuous functions on E with compact support) gives

(1.16) 
$$\hat{\mu}_{k}^{2}(f) = \frac{1}{\lambda(K)} \int_{K} N(dx) \int f(y-x) N(dy)$$

as  $F^N(\{\sup \mathcal{E}\} - \mathbb{X})$  -measurable estimator of  $\mu_a^2(f) = \int f d\mu_a^2$ . Using (1.14) and (1.16) we then obtain

(1.17) 
$$\hat{P}(\psi) = \hat{\mu}_{\bullet}^{2}(\hat{\psi}) = \frac{1}{\lambda(K)} \int_{K} M(dx) \int \hat{\psi}(y-x) M(dy)$$

as estimator of the spectral measure F.

The appropriate spectral density function is not that of F, but rather that of the covariance spectral measure  $F_\rho$ , which satisfies the Parseval relation

(1.18) 
$$\rho_{+}(\psi) = F_{\rho}(\widetilde{\psi}).$$

It follows that the covariance spectral density function  $f_\rho(v) = (dF_\rho/d\lambda)(v)$  satisfies

-8-

(1.19) 
$$f_{p}(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} E^{*} \{ f_{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle v, y^{>}} (N-v\lambda) (dy) \},$$

and therefore we have substitution estimators

.20) 
$$\hat{\ell}_{p}(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda(K)} \int_{K} M(dx) f e^{-\frac{1}{2} \langle v, y^{-}x^{2} \rangle} (M-v\lambda) (dy)$$
.

A shared shortcoming of the estimators  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\rho}$  is that they cannot be calculated from observation of N over compact sets, although they can be approximated; we investigate their properties nevertheless.

Finally we investigate estimation of P itself, using estimators

.) 
$$\hat{P}(H) = \frac{1}{v\lambda(K)^2} \int_K N(dx) \int_K H(NT_{K-Y}^{-1}) dy$$
.

for these and all of the other estimators, we establish strong consistency.

2. Strong consistency theorems. For K bounded and convex, let  $\delta(K)$  be the supremum of the radii of Euclidean balls contained in K. In order to have the "infinitely much" data necessary for consistent estimation we shall require that  $\delta(K) + m_1$  the crucial geometric consequence of convexity is that convex sets grow more rapidly than their boundaries: for every E > 0,  $\lambda((\partial K)^2)/\lambda(K) + 0$ , where  $(\partial K)^2$  is the set of points within distance of the boundary of K.

Here is our main consistency theorem, for the estimators  $\hat{P}^{\star}$ .

THEOREM 2.1. Assume that P is ergodic and that the intensity V is positive and finite, and lat H be a uniformly bounded set of continuous functions on  $\Omega$  that is compact in the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Then almost surely with respect to P,

(2.1) Iim sup 
$$|\hat{P}^{+}(H) - P^{+}(H)| = 0$$
,  $\delta(K) + \infty H \in H$ 

where P\* (H) is given by (1.15).

STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES STATES

PROOF. We combine appeal to the spatial ergodic theorem of Nguyen/Zessin (1979) (as in Krickeberg, 1982) with arguments adapted from Karr (1985). First let H be a fixed element of C(A); then the random measure

$$H(A) = \int_A H(NT^{-1}_X) N(dx) = \int_A H(N^0 \frac{1}{K}) M(dx)$$

is covariant with respect to  $\binom{\theta}{X}$ , i.e.,  $H(T_X)^{-\theta}_X = H(X)$  for all A and X. It follows that Proposition 4.23 of Nguyen/Zessin (1979), which even though the argument provided there requires minor emendations, is correct, applies, with the consequence that

(2.2) If 
$$\hat{P}^{\bullet}(H) = \lim_{c \to \infty} M(K) / \lambda(K) = E[M([0,1]^d]) = P^{\bullet}(H)$$
  $\delta(K) \to \infty$   $\delta(K) \to \infty$ 

almost surely (and in  $L^1(P)$  as well, but we do not pursue this aspect). In particular, from the choice H  $\equiv$  1 we infer strong consistency of the estimators  $\hat{0}$  = N(K)/(K):

(2.3) 
$$\lim_{\delta(K)\to \infty} \hat{V} = \lim_{\delta(K)\to \infty} N(K)/\lambda(K) = V$$

almost surely with respect to P.

Turning to the set H, given E > 0 there exists by finiteness of Percell that Pe( $\Omega$ ) = V) a compact subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\Omega$  such that Pe( $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ ) <  $\epsilon_1$  we may without loss of generality suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a Pe-continuity set, i.e., Pe( $\partial \Gamma$ ) = 0. Moreover, there exist  $\widetilde{H}_1, \dots, \widetilde{H}_L \in H$  such that to each  $H \in H$  there corresponds  $\mathcal{L}(H) \in \{1,\dots,L\}$  for which  $\|H-\widetilde{H}_L(H)\|_{\Gamma}$  (=  $\sup\{|H(u)-\widetilde{H}_L(H)|^{-1}\}$  (or which that (2.2) holds for  $\widetilde{H}_1,\dots,\widetilde{H}_L$ , and that (2.3) holds as well, in the

decomposition

(2.4) 
$$\sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} |\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(H) - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(H)| \le \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} |\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(H) - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{L(H)})|$$

$$+ \max_{H} |\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{L}) - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{L})|$$

$$+ \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}} |\mathbf{p}^{*}(\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{L(H)}) - \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{*}(H)|,$$

the second term converges to zero almost surely by (2.2). Concerning the third, for each H,

(2.5) 
$$|P^*(\tilde{H}_{L(H)}) - P^*(H)| \le |P^*(\tilde{H}_{L(H)})_{\Gamma}| - P^*(H)_{\Gamma^G}|$$
  
  $+ |P^*(\tilde{H}_{L(H)})_{\Gamma^G}| - P^*(H)_{\Gamma^G}|$ 

so that this term can be made arbitrarily small by proper choice of E. Finally, by straightforward arguments (Karr, 1979), (2.4) implies that almost surely \$^2 + p^2 vaguely as Radon measures on 0, and hence also weakly, by appeal to (2.5). Because [ is a P\*-continuity set, almost surely \$^2 (f^2) < E for all sufficiently large K; consequently

$$\sup_{H\in\mathcal{H}}\|\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^*(H)-\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^*(\widehat{H}_{L(H)})\|\leq c\|\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^*(\Gamma)+\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^*(\Gamma^0)\sup_{H\in\mathcal{H}}\|\|H\|_{\infty}$$

-17-

Strong consistency of the estimators  $\hat{\mu}_k^2$  of (1.16) of the reduced second moment measure will be shown in two forms, the first more intrinsically useful for estimation of  $\mu_k^2$  and the second directed at estimation of the spectral

ANTONY STRUCKLY SESSION SOUTH SOUTH

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that P is ergodic and that under P, N admits a moment of order two, and let  $\hat{\mu}_a^2$  be given by (1.16). Let K be a compact, uniformly bounded subset of C\_+(E), each element of which is supported in a single compact subset K<sub>0</sub> of E. Then almost surely

(2.6) 1im sup 
$$|\hat{\mu}_{a}^{2}(\varepsilon) - \mu_{a}^{2}(\varepsilon)| = 0$$
.  $\delta(x) + \alpha \varepsilon \in K$ .

proof. Given  $f \in K$ , define  $H_{\underline{f}}$ :  $\Omega + \Re$  by  $H_{\underline{f}}(U) = \mu(f)$ . Then  $H_{\underline{f}}$  is continuous, and the proof will be effected by showing that the mapping  $f + H_{\underline{f}}$  of  $C_{+}(E)$  into the set of bounded, continuous functions on  $\Omega$  is itself continuous, for then  $H = \{H_{\underline{f}} : f \in K\}$  is the continuous image of a compact set, and is hence compact; at this point, (2.6) follows from (2.1). Let  $\Gamma$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , then a = sup{ $\mu(K_{0}) : \mu \in \Gamma$ } is finite, and consequently for f,  $g \in K$ 

$$\sup_{y \in \Gamma} |x_{\xi}(y) - x_{\xi}(y)| = \sup_{y \in \Gamma} |y(\xi) - y(y)| \le a || |\xi - y||_{\infty},$$

THEOREM 2.3. Assume that P is ergodic and that N admits a moment of order two. Let K be a compact subset of  $D_r$  all of whose elements are supported in the same compact subset  $K_0$  of E. Then almost surely

PROOF. Since  $\hat{\Psi}$  does not have compact support, (2.7) does not follow from (2.6). Instead, we follow with minor modifications the reasoning used to prove Theorem 2.2. In view of (2.3) we first replace  $\Omega = \frac{M}{p}$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1 by  $\frac{M}{p}(V) = \{\mu_1 \text{ lim } \mu(K)/\lambda(K) = V\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, define  $\frac{R}{\mu(\mu)} = \mu(\hat{\psi})$ ,  $\hat{\psi} \in K_1$  then it suffices to show that the mapping  $\hat{\psi} + \frac{M}{\psi}$  is continuous, for then we may appeal to a minor alteration of Theorem 2.1 in order to conclude the proof. By compactness of K and continuity of the inverse Fourier transform (Rudin, 1973, Theorem 7.7), given  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a compact subset  $K_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

(2.8) 
$$\sup_{\psi \in K} \int_{\Gamma} |\hat{\psi}(x)| dx < \varepsilon.$$

Given a compact subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\underline{\mathbf{M}}_{D}(V)$  , for all  $\psi, \varphi \in K$ 

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} |\mathbf{R}_{\psi}(\mu) - \mathbf{R}_{\phi}(\mu)| = \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} |\mu(\hat{\psi}) - \mu(\hat{\phi})|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} |f_{\mathbf{K}_{1}} \hat{\psi} d\mu - f_{\mathbf{K}_{2}} \hat{\phi} d\mu|$$

+ 
$$\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} | \mathcal{I}_{K_{\alpha}}^{G} \hat{\psi} d\mu - \mathcal{I}_{K_{\alpha}}^{G} \hat{\psi} d\mu |$$

$$\leq [\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} \mu(\kappa_{\underline{1}})] \| \hat{\psi} - \hat{\phi} \|_{\infty} + 2\varepsilon$$

(by (2.3) and (2.8))

$$\leq [\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} \mu(x_1)] \| \psi - \phi \|_1 + 2\varepsilon$$

Ridin. 1973. Theorem 7.5)

$$\leq [\sup_{\mu \in \Gamma} \mu(\kappa_{\underline{1}})] \| \psi - \phi \|_{\infty} \lambda(\kappa_{\underline{0}}) + 2\varepsilon,$$

which gives the necessary continuity since  $K_{\underline{1}}$  depends on neither  $\psi$  nor  $\varphi.$ 

COROLLARY 2.4. Let K be as in Theorem 2.3 and let  $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$  be the estimator of the spectral measure given by (1.17). Then almost surely

(2.9) 11m sup 
$$|\hat{F}(\psi) - F(\psi)| = 0$$
. (6.1) 6(x)  $\psi \in K$ 

By the same pattern of reasoning, that is, because the mapping of  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  into the functional

$$H_{\mathbf{v}}(\mu) = f e^{-\frac{1}{4} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{y} \rangle} (\mu - \nu \lambda) (dy)$$

on  $\underline{M}_{p}(V)$  is continuous, we obtain the following consistency theorem for the estimators  $\hat{E}_{0}(v)$  of the spectral density function.

THEOREM 2.5. Assume that P is ergodic and that under P, N admits covariance spectral density function  $f_{\rm p}$  satisfying (1.19). Let  $\hat{f}_{\rm p}$  be given by (1.20); then for each compact subset  $K_{\rm 0}$  of E, almost surely

10) 
$$\lim_{\delta(K)\to\infty} \sup_{v\in K_0} |\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho}(v) - \mathcal{E}_{\rho}(v)| = 0. \quad \Box$$

It is instructive to compare the estimators  $\hat{f}_{\rho}$  with periodogram estimators commonly used in statistical analysis of stationary point processes (see for example Brillinger, 1975, or Cox/Lewis, 1966). In our setting the periodogram is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda(\mathbf{x})} | f_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{a}}^{-1<\mathbf{v},\mathbf{x}} N(d\mathbf{x}) |^{2}.$$

Even for E = R and K = [0,T] with T +  $^{\circ}$  the periodogram is not a consistent estimator of the spectral density function, which is related instead to its second moment properties. By contrast, the estimators  $\hat{f}_{\rho}$  of (1.20) are

strongly uniformly consistent in the sense of (2.10) -- but at the pride (even if truncation is imposed) that their computation is quadratic in  $B(R)_{s}$  rather than linear. Thus neither estimator seems clearly superior.

THE PERSON WINDS TO DO THE PERSON WINDS TO THE

Finally we consider estimation of the probability law P itself. Even though P is uniquely determined by the Palm measure P\* and even though, as the preceding development confirms, many of the main functionals of P of interest in inference are easily expressed as functionals of P\* as well, estimation of P remains an important problem. Our estimators P(H), given by (1.21), are motivated by the identity

2.11) 
$$E[N(K)H(N)] = E^*[\int_X H(NT_{-Y}^{-1}) dy],$$

which follows at once from (1.2).

In the following theorem we establish strong -- but not uniform -consistency of the estimators (1.21); indeed strong consistency in
Theorem 2.6 requires the full force of uniformity in Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.6. Assume that P is ergodic and that the intensity is positive and finite, and let H be a bounded, continuous function on  $\Omega_1$  Then for the estimators

$$\hat{P}(B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(X)^2}} \int_{K} N(dx) \int_{K} H(N\tau_{X-Y}^{-1}) dy,$$

we have  $\hat{P}(B) + P(B) = \beta B dP$  almost surely as  $\delta(K) + \omega$  .

PROOF. For each K, by (2.11)

$$(2.12) \left| \frac{1}{v\lambda(\kappa)^2} \int_K H(dx) f_K H(W^{-\frac{1}{2}}) dy - P(H) \right|.$$

$$\leq |P(H) - \frac{1}{v} E(H(N) H(K) / \lambda(K)] |$$

$$+ \frac{1}{v} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(K)} f_K E^* (H(W^{-\frac{1}{2}})) dy - \frac{1}{\lambda^2(K)} f_K H(W^{-\frac{1}{2}}) dy \right|.$$

Rinca N(K)/ $\lambda$ (K) + V in L<sup>1</sup> (see disquesion in the proof of Theorem 2.1), v<sup>-1</sup>E(H(N)M(K)/ $\lambda$ (K)] + E(H(N)] = P(H), so that the first term in (2.12) converges to zero. By Theorem 2.1 applied to the family  $H = \{\mu + H(\mu \tau_{-1}^{-1})\}$  (we omit the straightforward verification of the hypotheses) we infer that

13) 
$$\frac{1}{\lambda(x)} \int_{K} H(HT^{-1}_{x-y}) H(dx) + E^{\bullet}[H(HT^{-1}_{-y})]$$

uniformly in X, which by an analytical argument (for which uniformity in (2.13) is grudial) implies that the second term in (2.12), whose components are  $\lambda(x)^{-1}$  times the dy-integrals of the two sides of (2.13) over X, converges to zero almost surely.

3. Normal and Poisson approximations. In this section we present a central limit theorem and Poisson approximation theorem complementing the consistency theorems of Section 2. For bravity we work only in the context of Theorem 2..., which is after all, the principal result in Section 2.

Our central limit theorem extends the conclusion of the central limit theorem of Jolivet (1981) for estimators of reduced moment measures (of which the estimators (1.16) correspond to the reduced second moment measure), but does not weaken the hypotheses.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that P is argodic, that under P moments of N of every oxder exist, and that each reduced cumulant measure  $\gamma_{\pm}^k$  of order  $k \geq 2$  has finite total variation. Then there exists a centered Gaussian process {G(H): H  $\in$  C( $\Omega$ )} such that for each H,

d where + denotes convergence in (P-) distribution.

PROOF. Consider the class A of functions H of the form

(3.2) 
$$H(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_j-1} {\alpha_j \choose j}$$
,

where the c, are real constants,  $k_j$  and  $d_j$  are positive integers and  $k_j - 1$  . This class A of "polynomials" is a vector space and an algebra (i.e., is closed under pointwise multiplication) and evidently separates the points of  $\Omega$ ; consequently by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the (uniform) closure of A is  $C(\Omega)$ . It suffices, therefore, to show that (3.1) holds whenever H has the form (3.2). By the continuous mapping theorem, this last assertion holds if for each n,  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ ,

(3.3) 
$$\lambda(\kappa)^{\frac{k}{2}}(\hat{\mu}_{a}^{k}(\varepsilon_{1}^{1}), \dots, \hat{\mu}_{a}^{k}(\varepsilon_{n}^{n}) - (\mu_{a}^{k}(\varepsilon_{1}^{n}), \dots, \mu_{a}^{k}(\varepsilon_{n}^{n}))1$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\leftarrow} (G(H_{1}^{1}), \dots, G(H_{n}^{n})),$$

where  $H_j(\mu) = \mu^{-1}$  (f) and where  $\hat{\mu}_{\pi}^k(\ell) = \lambda(\kappa)^{-1} f_{\chi}^{-1} e_{\chi}(\ell) \pi(d\kappa)$ . Using the Cramér-Wold device we can reduce (3.3) to show that for each

3.4

where  $H_{g}(\mu) = \mu^{K-1}(f)$ , but (3.4) holds, in the presence of our hypotheses, by Jolivet (1981, Theorem 1).

The hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are rather severe, in part because of the generality of the convergence condition that  $\delta(K) + \omega$ . Another short-coming of normal approximations in general is that they are ineffective for

estimation of small probabilities. Poisson approximations, by contrast can estimate small probabilities and, moreover, have a lengthy history (see for example, Çinlar, 1972) in the context of point processes. Unfortunately, however, in the following theorem the severity of the assumptions in Theorem 3.1 is not mitigated.

For each x > 0 let  $B_x$  be the closed ball of radius r centered at the

1

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\Gamma_{\underline{r}}$  , r>0, be decreasing events for which there exists a finite measure  $\xi$  on  $\Omega$  such that

(3.5) 
$$\lim_{\Sigma \to \infty} d^{\Phi_0}(\cdot \cap \Gamma_E) = \xi(\cdot)$$

in the sense of weak convergence. For each r let  $N_{\rm z}$  be the point process on  $\Omega\times B_{\rm l}$  defined by

(3.6) 
$$N_z = \Sigma 1(NT_{X_1}^{-1} \in \Gamma_z) 1(X_1 \in B_z) \in \frac{-1}{(NT_{X_1}, X_1/z)}$$

If the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then as  $r+\omega$  ,  $N_\chi+\bar{N}$  , where  $\bar{N}$  is a Poisson process with mean measure  $\eta(\Gamma\times B)=\xi(\Gamma)\lambda(B)$  .

PROOF. We verify first that  $\mathbb{Z}[N_{\underline{k}}] + \eta$  in the sense of weak convergence; indeed for  $\Gamma$  a  $\xi\text{-continuity set},$ 

$$E[N_E(PxB)] = E[I_B 1(NT^{-1}_E \in F \cap I_E) 1(x \in FB)N(dx)]$$

(where rB = {rx: x ∈ B})

(by (1.2))

= P\*(N 
$$\in \Gamma$$
  $\cap \Gamma_E$ )  $r^d \lambda(B) \rightarrow \xi(\Gamma) \lambda(B)$ .

It follows from this computation (cf. Kallenberg, 1983, Lemma 4.5) that  $(N_{\underline{L}})$  is tight and bence it suffices to show that for any "subsequence"  $(N_{\underline{L}})$  converging in distribution the limit is Poisson with mean measure  $\eta$ . For this, it is sufficient by (Kallenberg, 1983, Theorem 4.7) to verify that

(3.7) 
$$P\{N_{\chi}(\Gamma \times B) = 0\} + e^{-\eta(\Gamma \times B)}$$

for  $\Gamma$  a  $\xi$ -continuity set and B a Borel subset of  $B_1$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, in the manner of Jolivet (1981), one may use (3.5) and the computational rules of Leonov/Shiryayev (1959) to evaluate the cumulants of  $B_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \times B)$ ; with computational details omitted, the result is that for each  $L^{\text{th}}$ -order cumulant  $c_{L}$  of  $N_{\Gamma}(\Gamma \times B)$  converges to  $\eta(\Gamma \times B)$ . Consequently (3.7) holds.

4. Combined inference and linear state estimation. In this section we apply the estimation procedures developed in Sections 1 and 2 to construct approximations to minimum mean squared error (MMSE) linear state estimators of unobserved portions of N, when the probability P -- under which N is stipulated to be stationary -- is unknown.

Let us first suppose that P were known, and introduce the centered process

(4.1) 
$$M(f) = N(f) - v\lambda(f) = \int f dx - v \int f d\lambda,$$

where V is the P-intensity of N. The linear state estimation problem is this: given data  $F^N(A)$  representing observation of N over a bounded set A with  $\lambda(A) > 0$  and a function f (without loss of generality, vanishing on A), calculate that function  $\hat{f}$  on A for which

(4.2) 
$$E[(M(f)-M(f))^2] \le E[(M(g)-M(f))^2]$$

for every function g on A. Thus M(f) is the optimal (in the MMSE sense) linear predictor of the unobservable random variable M(f) given the observations  $F^{N}(A)$ , and hence M(f) +  $v\lambda(f)$  is the optimal linear state estimator of N(f). With P known, derivation of  $\hat{f}$  is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Assume that N admits a moment of order two under P and let  $\rho_{\star}$  be the reduced covariance measure. Given f vanishing on A, the function  $\hat{f}$  satisfying (4.2) is the unique function such that

(4.3) 
$$\int_{\widehat{A}} \widehat{f}(y) \, \rho_{\mu}(dy-x) = \int f(y) \, \rho_{\mu}(dy-x)$$

for  $\lambda$ -almost all  $x \in A$ .

PROOF. By standard Hilbert space theory,  $M(\hat{f})$  is the projection of M(f) onto the linear space spanned by  $\{M(g):g\in L^2(A)\}$ , and consequently the unique solution of the normal equations.

(4.4) 
$$E[(M(f)-M(f))M(g)] = 0, g \in L^2(A)$$

With p the ordinary covariance measure,

$$E[M(f)M(g)] = fg(x)f(y)p(dx,dy)$$

= 
$$\int_{\mathbb{A}} g(x) \left[ \int \mathcal{E}(y) \rho_{\star}(dy-x) \right] dx_{i}$$

consequently (4.4) is equivalent to

$$0 = f_{\mathbf{A}}g(\mathbf{x}) \left( f_{\mathbf{A}}^{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{y}) \rho_{\mathbf{a}} \left( \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \text{-} \mathbf{x} \right) \text{--} f^{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{y}) \rho_{\mathbf{a}} \left( \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \text{-} \mathbf{x} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

confirming (4.3).

Suppose now that P is not known, specifically that  $\rho_a$  is unknown; nevertheless state estimation may be equally as important as when P is known. We shall construct "pseudo"-state estimators that approximate the "true" state estimators  $M(\vec{t})$  arising from (4.3) and describe their asymptotic behavior. Nore precisely, let  $f \in C(E)$  be fixed (recall that f has compact support) and suppose that M is observed over compact, convex sets K such that  $K \cap (\text{supp } f) = \phi$ . We then construct, using estimators  $\hat{\rho}_a = \hat{\mu}_a^2 - \hat{V}^2 \lambda$  of  $\rho_a$ , hassed on the observations  $F^M(K)$ , estimators f of the solution to (4.3) with  $\lambda = K$ , and establish that  $M(\hat{f} - \hat{f}) \neq 0$  (in an appropriate sense) as  $\delta(K) \neq \infty$ , when we are instead

i.5) 
$$\hat{\rho}_{\bullet} = \hat{\mu}_{\bullet}^2 - \hat{V}^2 \lambda$$

is obtained by substituting into the identity (1.7) the estimators  $\hat{V}=N(K)/\lambda(K)$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{g}^2$ , the latter given by (1.16). Given  $\hat{\rho}_{g}$ , let  $\hat{f}=\hat{f}_{K}$  be the unique function on K minimizing

As pseudo-state estimator based on the observations  $F^{\rm M}({\bf x})$  we then take

(4.7) 
$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{r}) = f_{\mathbf{K}}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{H} - \hat{\mathbf{v}} \lambda (\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{K}}}).$$

While the function f in Proposition 4.1 seems to depend on A there, in fact it does not: there exists a single function  $\hat{f}\in L^2(E)$  such that for each

A,  $\hat{f}_{A}=\hat{f}_{1A}$  is the solution to (4.3). Consequently  $\hat{H}(f)$  is an approximation to the true state estimator.

8) 
$$M(\hat{r}) = \int_{K} \hat{r} dN - v\lambda(\hat{r}1_{K})$$
.

THEOREM 4.2. Assume that P is ergodic and that the reduced covariance measure  $\rho_a$  has finite total variation. Then as  $\delta(K)+\omega,~\hat{M}(f)-M(\hat{f})+0$  almost surely.

PROOF. We begin with the decomposition

(4.9) 
$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{H}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}) = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{K}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}} - \hat{\mathbf{f}}) d\mathbf{N} + (\mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \lambda (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{K}}}) + \hat{\mathbf{v}}(\lambda (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{K}}}) - \lambda (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{K}}})).$$

The second term is dealt with most easily; by ergodicity of P,  $\hat{V}+V$  almost surely and hence since  $\hat{f}\in L^2(E)$  this term converges to zero as  $\delta(K)+\omega$ . We next show that almost surely  $\hat{f}+\hat{f}$  converges to zero in  $L^2$ . Indeed,

(by (4.6))

+ || fe(y)p. (dy-.) - fe(y)p. (dy-.) ||2

, which converges to zero by Theorem 2.1 applied to the estimators  $\hat{\rho}_{\text{e}}$  .

No. 1999 Block Cold Ton Color

In view of the preceding paragraph, the third term in (4.9) converges to sero almost surely, and so also does the first, which completes the 

proof.

References

[1] Bartlett, M.S. (1963). The spectral analysis of point processes.

J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B 25 264-296.

Bartlett, M.S. (1964). The spectral analysis of two-dimensional point processes. Biometrika 51 299-311. [2]

Bartlett, M.S. (1967). The spectral analysis of line processes. Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. III 135-153. [3]

functions. Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. 1 483-513. Brillinger, D.R. (1972). The spectral analysis of stationary interval Ξ

processes. In Stochastic Processes and Related Topics, M.L. Puri, ed., Brillinger, D.R. (1975). Statistical inference for stationary point Academic Press, New York. 2

Cinlar, B. (1972). Superposition of point processes. In Stochastic Point Processes, P.A.W. Levis, ed., Wiley, New York. [9]

Cox, D.R., and Lewis, P.A.W. (1966). The Statistical Analysis of Series of Events, Chapman and Hall, London. Ξ

Daley, D.J. (1971). Spectral properties of weakly stationary point processes. J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B 33 406-428. <u>e</u>

Ito, K. (1955), Stationary random distributions. Proc. Nem. Sci. Univ. Ryoto A 28 209-223. 3

processes for stationary point processes. In Point Processes and Queueing [10] Jolivet, E. (1981). Central limit theorem and convergence of empirical Problems, P. Bartfal and J. Tomko, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam.

[11] Xallenberg, O. (1983). Random Measures, 3rd ed., Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, and Academic Press, New York. [12] Xarr, A.F. (1979). Classical limit theorems for measure-valued Markov processes. J. Multivariate Anal. 9 234-247.

CALLES AND DESCRIPTION

- [13] Marr, A.F. (1985). Inference for thinned point processes, with application to Cox processes. J. Multivariate Anal. (to appear).
- [14] Krickeberg, K. (1974). Moments of point processes. In stochastic Geometry, E.F. Harding and M.G. Kendell, eds., Wiley, New York.
- [15] Krickeberg, K. (1982). Processus ponctuels en statistique. Lect. Notes Math. 929 205-313.
- [16] Meveu, J. (1977). Processus ponctuels. Lect. Notes Math. 598 249-447.
- [17] Mguyen, X.X., and Zessin, H. (1979). Ergodic theorems for spatial processes. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verv. Geb. 48 133-158.
- [18] Rudin, W. (1973). Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [19] Vere-Jones, D. (1974). An elementary approach to the spectral theory of stationary random measures. In Stochastic Geometry, E.F. Harding and M.G. Kandall, eds., Wiley, New York.
- [20] Yoshida, K. (1968). Functional Analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

## END

## FILMED

12-85

DTIC